



Stochastic Signals and Systems

Lecture 9 .
Unstable AR and MA processes
Multivariate systems

3 December 2020



REMINDER



Unstable MA processes, I.

Consider now an MA process s.t. $C(z^{-1})$ not necessarily stable.

$$y = C'(q^{-1})e' \quad (1)$$

where $C'(q^{-1})$ is a polynomial of q^{-1} and (e'_n) is a w.s.st. orthogonal process.

Assuming $\sigma^2(e') = 1$ the spectral density of (y_n) is given by

$$f(\omega) = |C'(e^{-i\omega})|^2.$$

This can be obtained by restricting the complex-function

$$g(z) = C'(z^{-1})C'(z)$$

to $z = e^{-i\omega}$.



Unstable MA processes, II.

Proposition

Let $C'(z^{-1})$ be a polynomial of z^{-1} such that $C'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$.

Then \exists a stable polynomial $C(z^{-1})$ with $\deg C = \deg C'$ such that

$$C'(z^{-1})C'(z) = C(z^{-1})C(z). \quad (2)$$

The stable factor is unique if the leading coefficient is fixed to 1. .



Spectral factorization, I.

The spectral density of a MA process can be written as

$$f(\omega) = C(e^{-i\omega})C(e^{i\omega}) = |C(e^{-i\omega})|^2.$$

where $C(z^{-1})$ is a stable polynomial. This is called spectral factorization, and $C(e^{-i\omega})$ is called a stable spectral factor.

Define a new process e by its spectral representation process as

$$d\zeta^e(\omega) = \frac{1}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega). \quad (3)$$

Write the spectral representation process of e as

$$d\zeta^e(\omega) = \frac{1}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega) = \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^{e'}(\omega). \quad (4)$$

The definition of e , given in (4), implies

$$y = C(q^{-1})e,$$

and since $C(z^{-1})$ stable, e is the innovation process of y .



Spectral factorization, II.

Proposition

Let $y = (y_n)$ be an MA process given by $y = C'(q^{-1})e'$ (1). Assume that $C'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$. Let $C(e^{-i\omega})$ be the stable spectral factor of $f^y(\omega)$, and define $e = (e_n)$ by (3). Then e is a w.s.st. orthogonal process,

$$y = C(q^{-1})e,$$

and e is the innovation process of y .



ARMA processes, I.

The combination of AR and MA processes is called an ARMA process.

Definition

A w.s.st. process $y = (y_n)$ is called an ARMA process if it satisfies the dynamics

$$A(q^{-1})y = C(q^{-1})e, \quad (5)$$

where (e_n) is a w.s.st. orthogonal process, and $A(q^{-1})$ and $C(q^{-1})$ are polynomials of the backward shift operator q^{-1} .

We use the following notations in defining an ARMA(p, r) process:

$$A(q^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^p a_k q^{-k}, \quad C(q^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^r c_k q^{-k},$$

assuming that $a_0 = c_0 = 1$, and $a_p \neq 0$ and $c_r \neq 0$.



ARMA processes, II.

Proposition

Consider the ARMA dynamics (5). Assume that $A(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$. Then there is a unique w.s.st. process $y = (y_n)$ satisfying (5). The process (y_n) has a spectral density equal to

$$f^y(\omega) = \sigma^2(e) \frac{|C(e^{-i\omega})|^2}{|A(e^{-i\omega})|^2}.$$

Remark. We assume that $A(z^{-1})$ and $C(z^{-1})$ have no common factor.



Stability and inverse stability, I.

Let us consider an ARMA(p, r) process $y = (y_n)$ defined by

$$A(q^{-1})y = C(q^{-1})e \quad \text{with} \quad \deg A = p, \quad \deg C = r. \quad (6)$$

Here $e = (e_n)$ is a w.s.st. orthogonal process. We can ask ourselves: under what conditions e is the innovation process of y .

Proposition

Assume that both $A(z^{-1})$ and $C(z^{-1})$ are stable, i.e. $A(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ and $C(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| \geq 1$. Then $e = (e_n)$ is the innovation process of $y = (y_n)$.

The idea of the proof: expand both $\frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})}$ and $\frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})}$

into a power series of $e^{-i\omega}$, to infer both $H_n^y \subset H_n^e$ and $H_n^e \subset H_n^y \quad \forall n$.



Stability and inverse stability, II.

A simple extension of the lemma on the expansion of $1/A(e^{-i\omega})$:

Lemma

If both $A(z^{-1})$ and $C(z^{-1})$ are stable, $a_0 = c_0 = 1$, then

$$\frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k e^{-ik\omega}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k e^{-ik\omega},$$

with $h_0 = g_0 = 1$, where convergence on the r.h.s. is uniform in ω .

It follows that the r.h.s. of $\frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})}$ converges in $L_2^c(dF^e)$, hence the

random measure $\frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^e(\omega)$ is well-defined. Similarly, the r.h.s. of

$\frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})}$ converges in $L_2^c(dF^y)$, hence the random measure $\frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega)$ is well-defined.



End of REMINDER



Unstable ARMA processes, I.

The analysis of unstable MA or AR processes can be extended.

Let $y = (y_n)$ be a w.s.st. ARMA process defined by

$$A'(q^{-1})y = C'(q^{-1})e' \quad (7)$$

where the polynomials $A'(z^{-1})$ and $C'(z^{-1})$ are not necessarily stable.

The process e' is a w.s.st. orthogonal process with $\sigma^2(e') = 1$.

Assume $A'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ and $C'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$. The spectral density of y :

$$f(\omega) = \left| \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})} \right|^2.$$

Let $A(e^{-i\omega})$ and $C(e^{-i\omega})$ be the stable spectral factors of the denominator and the numerator, resp.



Unstable ARMA processes, II.

Then

$$f^y(\omega) = \left| \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})} \right|^2.$$

The rational function $\frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})}$ is called a stable spectral factor of f^y .

Now define the w.s.st. process e by

$$d\zeta^e(\omega) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega),$$

Equivalently, define the process e by the inverse dynamics

$$C(q^{-1})e = A(q^{-1})y \quad (8)$$

Let us spell out the definition of e :

$$d\zeta^e(\omega) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} \cdot \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^{e'}(\omega).$$



Unstable ARMA processes, III.

$$d\zeta^e(\omega) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^y(\omega) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} \cdot \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})} d\zeta^{e'}(\omega).$$

Note that the transfer function

$$G(e^{-i\omega}) = \frac{A(e^{-i\omega})}{C(e^{-i\omega})} \cdot \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})}$$

is such that

$$G(e^{-i\omega})G(e^{i\omega}) = |G(e^{-i\omega})|^2 = 1 \quad \forall \omega. \quad (9)$$

We say that the transfer function $G(e^{-i\omega})$ is all-pass: all frequencies are passed through the filter G with unchanged energy.

It is readily seen that the process $e = (e_n)$ is a w.s.st. orthogonal process:



Unstable ARMA processes. Summary.

Proposition

Let $y = (y_n)$ be a w.s.st. ARMA process defined by

$$A'(q^{-1})y = C'(q^{-1})e' \quad (10)$$

where e' is a w.s.st. orthogonal process with $\sigma^2(e') = 1$.

Assume $A'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ and $C'(z^{-1}) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$. Let $C(e^{-i\omega})/A(e^{-i\omega})$ denote the stable spectral factor of the spectral density of y :

$$f(\omega) = \left| \frac{C'(e^{-i\omega})}{A'(e^{-i\omega})} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{C(e^{-i\omega})}{A(e^{-i\omega})} \right|^2.$$

Then the innovation process of y is obtained from the equation below:

$$C(q^{-1})e = A(q^{-1})y, \quad (11)$$



VECTOR VALUED PROCESSES



Vector valued w.s.st. processes, I.

Motivation: simultaneous price movements of several commodities.

Interaction between individual prices: get better predictions.

Definition

The \mathbb{R}^s -valued stochastic process $y = (y_n)$, $-\infty < n < +\infty$ is called wide sense stationary if $E|y_n|^2 < \infty$ for all n , $Ey_n = 0$ for all n , and the covariance matrix

$$R(\tau) = R^y(\tau) = E(y_{n+\tau}y_n^\top)$$

is independent of n .

The condition $Ey_n = 0$ can be replaced by the condition that $Ey_n = m$ with some fixed vector $m \in \mathbb{R}^s$ for all n .

The matrix-valued function $R(\cdot)$ is called the *auto-covariance function* of (y_n) . Obviously, we have

$$R(-\tau) = R(\tau)^\top.$$



Vector valued w.s.st. processes, II.

The definition extends to \mathbb{C}^s -valued (complex-valued) processes requiring

$$R(\tau) = E(y_{n+\tau} \bar{y}_n^\top)$$

to be independent of n . Obviously, $R(-\tau) = \overline{R(\tau)}^\top$.

An eminent role is played by vector-valued w.s.st. orthogonal processes.

Definition

A \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. stochastic process (e_n) is called a w.s.st. orthogonal process if

$$E e_{n+\tau} e_n^\top = 0 \quad \text{for } \tau \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E e_n e_n^\top = \Sigma \quad \text{for all } n,$$

where Σ is a fixed, $s \times s$ symmetric positive semi-definite matrix.

Note that Σ is not assumed to be the identity I , it may be even singular.



Results for vector-valued w.s.st. processes

Let (y_n) be a \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. process. Define a new process:

$$z_n = \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^T y_{n-k}, \quad \text{with } a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

Exercise. (HW) Show that (z_n) is an \mathbb{R} -valued w.s.st. process, and

$$\mathbb{E}z_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^p a_k^T R(k-j) a_j \geq 0.$$

Corollary. The *block matrix* R , which is defined by the *blocks*

$$R_{k,j} = R(k-j) \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s}, \quad k, j = 1, \dots, p \quad (12)$$

is positive semi-definite. The size of R is $(ps) \times (ps)$.



Prediction and innovation, I.

Let (y_n) be a \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. process.

Any *component of y_n* or a linear combination of components of y_n will be predicted by taking a set of vectors a_1, \dots, a_p in \mathbb{R}^s , and defining

$$z_n = \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^\top y_{n-k}.$$

Exercise. Show that (z_n) is an \mathbb{R} -valued w.s.st. process and we have

$$\mathbb{E}z_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_k^\top R(k-l) a_l. \quad (13)$$

Thus the block matrix $R = (R_{k,l})$ defined by the blocks

$$R_{k,l} = R(k-l), \quad k, l = 1, \dots, p \quad (14)$$

is positive semi-definite. The size of R is $ps \times ps$.



Block Toeplitz matrices

Recall: $R_{k,j} = R(k-j) = E(y(n-j)y^T(n-k))$, $k, j = 1, \dots, p$, and

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R(0) & R(1) & \dots & \dots & R(p-1) \\ R(-1) & R(0) & R(1) & \dots & R(p-2) \\ R(-2) & R(-1) & R(0) & \dots & R(p-3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ R(1-p) & R(2-p) & \dots & \dots & R(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition

A $ps \times ps$ matrix R consisting of $m \times m$ blocks is called a **block-Toeplitz matrix**.

Note. A block-Toeplitz matrix is typically not Toeplitz in the usual sense.



The history of (y_n)

Let (y_n) be an \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. process.

Consider first the linear space of \mathbb{R} -valued (!) random variables:

$$\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^y = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^T y_{n-k}, \text{ for some } p, \text{ and } a_k \in \mathbb{R}^s \right\} \subset L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P).$$

We define H_{n-1}^y as the closure of \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^y in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Remark. H_{n-1}^y is a Hilbert space of *real-valued* r.v.-s.



Projection in $L_2^s(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$

We define $L_2^s(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^s \text{ is a r.v.} : E|x|^2 < \infty\}$.

The projection of $x \in L_2^s(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ onto H_{n-1}^y is defined *componentwise*:

$$\hat{x} = (x|H_{n-1}^y) = ((x_1|H_{n-1}^y), \dots, (x_s|H_{n-1}^y))^T.$$

For the error vector $\tilde{x} = x - \hat{x}$ we have

$$\tilde{x} \perp H_{n-1}^y \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \tilde{x}_k \perp H_{n-1}^y \quad \forall k.$$



The innovation process

Define innovation process of $y = (y_n)$, denoted by $e = (e_n)$, via

$$e_n = y_n - (y_n | H_{n-1}^y).$$

A vector valued process (y_n) is singular, if its innovation process identically 0, or equivalently if

$$H_n^y = H_{n-1}^y \text{ for all } n. \quad (15)$$

A novel phenomenon is that $\Lambda = \mathbb{E}e_n e_n^T$ may be singular, although $\Lambda \neq 0$.



Spectral theory, I.

Question: can we extend Herglotz's theorem on the representation of the auto-covariance sequence to vector valued w.s.st. processes ?

Assume first that the auto-covariance function of (y_n) satisfies

$$\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} \|R(\tau)\|^2 < \infty, \quad (16)$$

where $\|R\|$ denotes the operator norm of the matrix R , i.e.

$$\|R\| = \max_{x \neq 0} |Rx|/|x|.$$



Spectral theory, II.

Theorem

Let $y = (y_n)$ be an \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. process, and let $R(\cdot)$ be its auto-covariance function. Assume that $R(\cdot)$ satisfies (16). Then we have

$$R(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\tau\omega} f(\omega) d\omega, \quad (17)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is a symmetric, positive semi-definite function in $L_2^{s \times s}(d\omega)$.

The function $f(\cdot)$ is called the spectral density of $y = (y_n)$.

Exercise. HW Show that for an \mathbb{R}^s -valued orthogonal wide sense stationary process (e_n) with covariance matrix $\Lambda = \mathbb{E}e_n e_n^\top$ we have

$$f(\omega) = \Lambda \quad \forall \omega.$$



Spectral theory, III.

Proof (Outline.) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and consider the scalar process

$$z_{\alpha,n} = \alpha^\top y_n.$$

The auto-covariance function of $z_{\alpha,n}$ is

$$r^\alpha(\tau) = \alpha^\top R(\tau)\alpha.$$

It is obvious by (16) that $\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} (r^\alpha(\tau))^2 < +\infty$. By the special case of the scalar Herglotz's theorem we have

$$r^\alpha(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\omega\tau} f^\alpha(\omega) d\omega,$$

where $f^\alpha(\omega) \geq 0$ is the spectral density corresponding to $r^\alpha(\tau)$.



Spectral theory, IV.

We know how to get $f^\alpha(\cdot)$ from $r^\alpha(\cdot)$ explicitly:

$$f^\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} r^\alpha(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega}.$$

Here convergence on the r.h.s. is meant in $L_2^c(d\omega) = L_2^c([0, 2\pi], d\omega)$!

Substituting $r^\alpha(\tau) = \alpha^\top R(\tau)\alpha$ we get

$$f^\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^\top R(\tau)\alpha e^{-i\tau\omega}. \quad (18)$$

Taking finite truncations of the r.h.s. we get that

$$\sum_{\tau=-N}^N \alpha^\top R(\tau)\alpha e^{-i\tau\omega} = \alpha^\top \left(\sum_{\tau=-N}^N R(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega} \right) \alpha \quad (19)$$

converges in $L_2(d\omega)$ for any α .



Spectral theory, V.

From here we would like to conclude that

$$f_N(\omega) = \sum_{\tau=-N}^N R(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega}$$

itself converges in $L_2^{s \times s}(d\omega)$. To see this we need what follows:

Exercise. Prove that a quadratic form $\alpha^\top F \alpha$, with F symmetric, determines the bilinear form corresponding to F uniquely as

$$\beta^\top F \gamma = \frac{1}{4} ((\beta + \gamma)^\top F (\beta + \gamma) - (\beta - \gamma)^\top F (\beta - \gamma)). \quad (20)$$



Spectral theory, VI.

Take $F = f_N$, and any pair of unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^s , say, $\beta = e_k, \gamma = e_l$.

We conclude that infinite series below converges in $L_2(d\omega) \forall k, l$:

$$e_k^\top \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} R(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega} e_l = \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{k,l}(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega} =: f_{k,l}(\omega).$$

Thus the infinite series below converges in $L_2^{s \times s}(d\omega)$:

$$\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} R(\tau) e^{-i\tau\omega} =: f(\omega)$$

It is readily seen that

$$f^\alpha(\omega) = \alpha^\top f(\omega) \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

Obviously $f(\omega)$ is symmetric, and $f^\alpha(\omega) \geq 0$

that $f(\omega)$ is positive semi-definite. This concludes the proof.



Spectral theory: the general case

Theorem

Let $y = (y_n)$ be an \mathbb{R}^s -valued w.s.st. process, and let $R(\cdot)$ be its auto-covariance function. Then we have

$$R(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\tau\omega} dF(\omega),$$

where $F(\cdot)$ is a matrix-valued function such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F(2\pi)$ is finite, and its increments of $F(\cdot)$ are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices.

The matrix-valued $F(\omega)$ is the spectral distribution function of (y_n)