Basic Image Processing

PPKE-ITK

Lecture 4.

• Recap: Gaussian Smoothing – efficient for Gaussian noise, but...



Image with salt and pepper noise



Gaussian blur with convolution

• Rank filter:

- 1. Consider the actual pixel and its neighborhood (e.g. 3×3=9 pixel sized window),
- 2. Sort the observed pixel values according to gray level,
- 3. Take the *k*-th value from this row as the new pixel value
- **Median filter**: k is the middle pixel value in the row:

 $k = [(2W+1)^2 - 1]/2$, if W is the half side size of the neighborhood

• Non-Linear filter

- Median filter: replaces each pixel with the *median value* of its analyzed neighborhood. (Median value: the center element of sorted values)
 - Very effective against impulse ("salt and pepper") noise:



Input image with salt and pepper noise



Blur with convolution



Median filter

- Median filter: replaces each pixel with the *median value* of its analyzed neighborhood. (Median value: the center element of sorted values)
 - Very effective against impulse ("salt and pepper") noise:



• Not so effective against Gaussian noise.

• Order statistic filtering:

- Based on the sorted pixel intensity levels in the analyzed neighborhood.
- If after sorting...
 - we take the middle element, we get back the median filter.
 - We take the maximum element to filter "pepper" and min to filter "salt" noise.



• But max filter will highlight "salt", while min filter will highlight "pepper".

• Order statistic filtering:

- Mid-point filtering:
 - works well on Gaussian or uniform noise

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{(m_1, m_2) \in N} \left\{ x(m_1, m_2) \right\} + \min_{(m_1, m_2) \in N} \left\{ x(m_1, m_2) \right\} \right)$$

• Alpha-trimmed mean filter:

$$y(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{N}| - \alpha} \sum_{(m_1, m_2) \in N_r} x(m_1, m_2)$$

- Where N_r is a reduced neighborhood, not containing the lowest and highest α element of N.
- If α = 0, we get back the arithmetic mean.
- If $\alpha = |N|$ -1, we get back the median filter.

Wallis Operator

• The Wallis operator can help to adjust local contrast:

$$y(n_{1}, n_{2}) = [x(n_{1}, n_{2}) - \bar{x}(n_{1}, n_{2})] \frac{A_{\max}\sigma_{d}}{A_{\max}\sigma_{l}(n_{1}, n_{2}) + \sigma_{d}} + [p\bar{x}_{d} + (1 - p)\bar{x}(n_{1}, n_{2})]$$

- where σ_l the local contrast: $\sigma_l(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sqrt{\sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in N} (x(n_1, n_2) \overline{x}(n_1, n_2))^2}$
- \overline{x} is the local average: $\overline{x}(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in N} x(n_1, n_2)$
- σ_d is the desired local contrast, \overline{x}_d is the desired mean value of all pixels, p is a weighting factor of the mean compensation, while A_{max} is maximizing the local contrast modification.

Wallis Operator

• We can describe the image the following way:

$$x(n_1, n_2) = \underbrace{\left[x(n_1, n_2) - \bar{x}(n_1, n_2)\right]}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\bar{x}(n_1, n_2)}_{(2)}$$

where (2) is the local mean and (1) is the deviation from the local mean.

• With the transformation we want to "push" the local mean and standard deviation to a predefined desired value:

$$y(n_{1}, n_{2}) = \underbrace{\left[x(n_{1}, n_{2}) - \bar{x}(n_{1}, n_{2})\right] \frac{\sigma_{d}}{\sigma_{l}(n_{1}, n_{2})}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\left[p\bar{x}_{d} + (1 - p)\bar{x}(n_{1}, n_{2})\right]}_{(2)}$$

We are almost there, but if the local contrast is too low, the weighting in
 (1) may get too high, this is why we maximize it with A_{max}:

$$\frac{\sigma_{d}}{\sigma_{l}\left(n_{1},n_{2}\right)} \Rightarrow \frac{A_{\max}\sigma_{d}}{A_{\max}\sigma_{l}\left(n_{1},n_{2}\right) + \sigma_{d}}$$

Wallis Operator



Original Image



Image after applying Wallis operator

Anisotropic Diffusion

- The anisotropic diffusion is a technique aiming at reducing image noise without blurring significant parts of the image content.
- It was first proposed by Dénes Gábor in 1965 and later by Perona and Malik around 1990.
- Non-linear and space-variant transformation.
- The main idea is that the effect of blurring in each direction is inversely proportional to the gradient value in that direction:
 - allows diffusion along the edges or in edge-free territories, but penalizes diffusion orthogonal to the edge direction.
- AD is an iterative process

P. Perona, J Malik (July 1990). "Scale-space and edge detection using anisotropic diffusion". IEEE Tr. PAMI, 12 (7): 629–639.

D. Gabor, "Information theory in electron microscopy," Laboratory Investigation, vol. 14/6, pp. 801–807, 1965.

Anisotropic Diffusion



Anisotropic Diffusion



- Assumption:
 - The image is smooth inside the objects, with jumps across the boundaries.
 - The noise component has high variation.
- The goal of *Total Variation based noise removal* is to minimize the total variation of the image while keep the result as close to the original input image as possible.
- It was introduced by Rudin, Osher and Fatemi in 1992.

Rudin, L. I.; Osher, S.; Fatemi, E. (1992). "Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms". Physica D 60: 259–268

 TV of the output image y is defined as the integral of the absolute gradient of the signal:

$$V(y) = \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \sqrt{|y(n_1 + 1, n_2) - y(n_1, n_2)|^2 + |y(n_1, n_2 + 1) - y(n_1, n_2)|^2}$$

 On the other hand, we also measure the difference between the original image x and the output image y by L₂ norm E:

$$E(x, y) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} (x(n_1, n_2) - y(n_1, n_2))^2$$

• The goal function for Total Variation based regularization:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{y}} \left[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \lambda \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y}) \right]$$

where λ is the regularization parameter.

Rudin, L. I.; Osher, S.; Fatemi, E. (1992). "Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms". Physica D 60: 259–268



Matlab Code: http://visl.technion.ac.il/~gilboa/PDE-filt/tv_denoising.html



Matlab Code: http://visl.technion.ac.il/~gilboa/PDE-filt/tv_denoising.html

Fourier Transformation Image filtering in the frequency domain

What is Fourier Transform?

- A transformation maps data between (different) domains.
- The Fourier Transform changes between the representation in the time domain and in the frequency domain.
- The information is the same in both domains, only the representation is different.
- It is a reversible transform.
- It builds on the fact that any function can be represented as a weighted sum of sinusoid functions:



• If we can describe sinusoids we can describe every function.

2D Fourier transform

• Forward transform: map an image $x(n_1, n_2)$ of size $N_1 \times N_2$ from the **spatial domain** into the $X(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ frequency domain

$$X(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} x(n_1, n_2) e^{-j\omega_1 n_1} e^{-j\omega_2 n_2}$$

- even if the image is real the spectrum is complex due to the complex exponential factors
- ω_1, ω_2 frequencies: continuous variables
- $X(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ continuous Fourier transform or spectrum of the discrete image
- Drawback: no computable representation of $X(\omega_1, \omega_2)$
- Solution: **Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)**: sample the continuous spectrum with equally spaced frequencies

 We sample one period of the Fourier transform in evenly spaced frequencies:

$$\begin{split} X(\omega_1, \omega_2) &= \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} x(n_1, n_2) e^{-j\omega_1 n_1} e^{-j\omega_2 n_2} \\ X(k_1, k_2) &= X(\omega_1, \omega_2) \Big|_{\omega_1 = \frac{2\pi}{N_1} k_1, \omega_2 = \frac{2\pi}{N_2} k_2} \end{split} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ k_1 &= 0, 1..., N_1 - 1 \\ k_2 &= 0, 1..., N_2 - 1 \\ \end{array} \\ X(k_1, k_2) &= \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=1}^{N_2-1} x(n_1, n_2) e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N_1} k_1 n_1} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N_2} k_2 n_2} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same: } N_1 x N_2 \\ \text{The size of the image in the frequency domain will be the same N_1 x N_2 \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

 $n_1 = 0 n_2 = 0$

 Forward formula: gives the description of the image in the discrete frequency domain

$$X(k_1, k_2) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} x(n_1, n_2) e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N_1}k_1n_1} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N_2}k_2n_2}$$

 Inverse Fourier transform: maps from the discrete frequency domain back to the discrete spatial domain

$$x(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{N_1 N_2} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{N_2-1} X(k_1, k_2) e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N_1}k_1 n_1} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N_2}k_2 n_2}$$

• algorithmically it has the same structure as the forward transform,

- DFT is an exact transform, there is no transformation error.
 - Not surprising, since we use the same image size for the representation of both x(n₁, n₂) and X(ω₁, ω₂).
- Most of the properties of continuous FT hold for DFT
 - Except linear shift of FT becomes circular shift for DFT.
- DFT and inverse DFT are computable transformations
- There are fast ways to compute the DFT: *Fast Fourier Transform*
 - If the size of the image is NxN, then the **naive implementation** requires N^4 multiplications: N^2 for each (k_1, k_2) point.
 - The **FFT** with row/column decomposition requires only **N**²**log**₂**N** multiplications.
- FFT makes the Fourier transformation applicable in many practical cases.

Interpretation of Fourier coefficients

- Analogy of Fourier coefficient based representation:
 - Consider the image as a superposition of sinusoid/cosine waves with different amplitudes, frequencies and directions
 - 1D case in formulas: $(x_n: signal, X_k Fourier coefficient)$

$$x_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_{k} \exp(j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn)$$

$$x_{n} = X_{0} + X_{N/2} \cos(\pi n) + \sum_{k=1}^{N/2-1} 2\left(\operatorname{Re}(X_{k})\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) - \operatorname{Im}(X_{k})\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right)\right)$$

X₀: real number, average of the function

• 2D case (e.g. image) visualization:



Interpretation of 2D Fourier coefficients

$$X(k_{1},k_{2})\cdot\exp\left(j2\pi\left(k_{1}\frac{n_{1}}{N_{1}}+k_{2}\frac{n_{2}}{N_{2}}\right)\right)+X(-k_{1},-k_{2})\cdot\exp\left(-j2\pi\left(k_{1}\frac{n_{1}}{N_{1}}+k_{2}\frac{n_{2}}{N_{2}}\right)\right)=$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{X(k_{1},k_{2})\}\cdot2\cdot\cos\left(2\pi\left(k_{1}\frac{n_{1}}{N_{1}}+k_{2}\frac{n_{2}}{N_{2}}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Im}\{X(k_{1},k_{2})\}\cdot2\cdot\sin\left(2\pi\left(k_{1}\frac{n_{1}}{N_{1}}+k_{2}\frac{n_{2}}{N_{2}}\right)\right)$$

- real part of an $X(k_1, k_2)$ Fourier coefficient is the **amplitude** of a coswave, while the imaginary part is the amplitude of a sinusoid wave
- wavelength and orientation of the waves are encoded in the (k_1, k_2) position coordinates of the coefficients in the 2D Fourier map



Slide credit [®] Prof. Vladimir Székely, BME

Illustration of the periodicity of coefficients Centered DFT: better visualization





- In the center of the DFT array X_{00} is the zero-frequency coefficient (DC component)
- Distance from the center
 - Frequency of the corresponding sin/cos wave

$$f = \sqrt{(k_1 / N_1)^2 + (k_2 / N_2)^2}$$

- Orientation
 - Direction perpendicular to the wavefront

$$\alpha = \arctan((k_2 / N_2) / (k_1 / N_1))$$

October 13, 2018

Basic Image Processing Algorithms

Slide credit [®] Prof. Vladimir Székely, BME 26

Point-wise Intensity Transformation

• Log transformation:

• Commonly used to visualize the Fourier transform of an image



Original Image*



The magnitude of the DFT



Log of the magnitude of the DFT

Imaged absolute values of DFT coefficients – facade of the Notre Dame Paris



- In the transformed map, directions of strong lines are perpendicular to the major contours in the image:
 - A line- horizontal ledges (párkányok)
 - B line- slim vertical columns.
 - C and D lines periodic vertical patterns with the frequency "n = ±32": decoration of the windows behind the columns

Imaged absolute values of DFT coefficients– analysing fingerprint images



- No characteristic lines in the transform
 - ← ridges of fingerprints run in any directions
- At d distance from the center a significant ring shaped maximum
 - \leftarrow the average spatial frequency of the fingerprint ridges is *d*-times the basic frequency f_b , and there exist no nominant directions

Filtering in the DFT space

- Low-Pass Filter (LPF):
 - Filtering out the large spatial frequencies



a) b) Result of filtering out large frequencies. Erased all coeff. a.) above 16 f_b, b.) above 8 f_b

Slide credit [®] Prof. Vladimir Székely, BME

Filtering in the DFT space

- High-Pass Filter (HPF)
 - Filtering out the low spatial frequencies



a)

Result of filtering out large frequencies. Erased all coeff. a.) below 4 f_b, b.) below 10 f_b

Slide credit [®] Prof. Vladimir Székely, BME

b)











Original Image*

Magnitude of the **DFT**

Phase of the **DFT**

Discrete Fourier Transform - Examples





Representing curves with Fourier-descriptors

- 1. Complex numbers from the 2D curve points: $z_k = x_k + j \cdot y_k$
- 2. 1D DFT transform calculated for the complete closed curve

$$C_n = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} z_k \exp(j\frac{2\pi}{K} n \cdot k)$$

3. Setting high frequency C_n coefficient to zero, then recovering of the approximate contour points by inverse transform



Reconstruction of letters "L" and "L" with 2, 3, 4 and 8 Fourier coefficients

- Motivation: image with large dynamic range, e.g. natural scene on brightly sunny day, recorded on a medium with small dynamic range results in image contrast significantly reduced especially in dark and bright regions
- **Goal:** reduce the dynamic range, increase contrast
- Example for a spatial filter also using Fourier-based steps



- It simultaneously *normalizes the brightness* across an image and *increases contrast*.
- Assumes the following image model: the image is formed by recording the light reflected from the objects illuminated by a light source.

$$x(n_1, n_2) = i(n_1, n_2) \cdot r(n_1, n_2)$$

Illumination: slowly varying, main contributor to dynamic range

Reflectance: rapidly varying, main contributor to local contrast

 We want to reduce the illumination component, and increase the reflectance component.

- The main steps of homomorphic filtering:
 - 1. To separate the two components we first use log transformation:

$$\log(x(n_1, n_2)) = \log(i(n_1, n_2)) + \log(r(n_1, n_2))$$

2. Since we assume that the illumination component varies slowly and the reflectance varies rapidly, we can get the two component by using (Fourier-based) low and high pass filters:

$$\log(i(n_1, n_2)) = LPF[\log(x(n_1, n_2))]$$
$$\log(r(n_1, n_2)) = HPF[\log(x(n_1, n_2))]$$

3. Weight the two component:

$$\log(y(n_1, n_2)) = \gamma_1 \log(i(n_1, n_2)) + \gamma_2 \log(r(n_1, n_2)), \text{ where } \gamma_1 < 1, \gamma_2 > 1$$

4. Transform back to the original range, using the exponential transform.



$$x(n_1, n_2) = i(n_1, n_2)r(n_1, n_2)$$
$$\log(y(n_1, n_2)) = \gamma_1 \log(i(n_1, n_2)) + \gamma_2 \log(r(n_1, n_2))$$

$$y(n_1, n_2) = [i(n_1, n_2)]^{\gamma_1} [r(n_1, n_2)]^{\gamma_2}$$



Original Image



Image after homomorphic filtering

Main Sources

Fundamentals of Digital Image and Video Processing lectures by Aggelos K. Katsaggelos

Introduction to Fourier Transform (<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1JnayXHhjlg</u>)

Introduction to Compex Exponential Function (<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qjT3XvS7Qno</u>)